

# Nonsmooth Optimization on Riemannian Manifolds in Manopt.jl

Ronny Bergmann

Optimization Research Seminar Heidelberg,

December 8, 2022



#### **Overview**

#### Task. We aim to solve

 $\operatorname*{arg\,min}_{p\in\mathcal{M}}\mathit{f}(p)$ 

where

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\mathcal{M}$  is a Riemannian manifold
- $f: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$  is nonsmooth and possibly high-dimensional

#### Roadmap.

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Algorithms
- 3. Numerical examples in Manopt.jl



#### Intuition: Embedded Manifolds

Consider  $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$  as an equality constraint h(p) = 0. If rank Dh(p) = k for all p with h(p) = 0, then

$$\mathcal{M} \coloneqq \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^n \, \middle| \, h(p) = 0 \right\}$$

is a (smooth embedded sub-)manifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension m = n - k, cf. Definition 3.10. [Bournal 2022]

**Example.** The Sphere  $\mathbb{S}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  has h(p) = ||p|| - 1 = 0 $\Rightarrow$  We have k = 1 and m = n - 1.

Actually. It is enough to find such a function *h* locally around every *p*.



#### Intuition: Retractions – "Walking on Manifolds"

**Interpretation.** With rank Dh(p) = k we get dim ker Dh(p) = m = n - k  $\Rightarrow$  we have *m* "directions", where Dh(p)[X] = 0. We call the set of these "directions" the Tangent space  $T_p\mathcal{M}$ . The (disjoint) union of all tangent spaces is called the tangent bundle  $T\mathcal{M}$ .

**Goal.** We would like to "walk" into these directions while staying on the manifold.

**Definition.** A function  $R: T\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ , also denoted by  $R_p: T_p\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$  for each  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ , is called a retraction if each curve  $c(t) = R_p(tX)$  satisfies c(0) = p and c'(0) = X.



## A Riemannian Manifold ${\cal M}$

A *d*-dimensional Riemannian manifold can be informally defined as a set  $\mathcal{M}$  covered with a 'suitable' collection of charts, that identify subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$  with open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and a continuously varying inner product on the tangent spaces. [Absil, Mahony, and Sepulchre 2008]

#### Notation.

- Logarithmic map  $\log_p q = \dot{\gamma}(0; p, q)$
- Exponential map  $\exp_p X = \gamma_{p,X}(1)$
- Geodesic  $\gamma(\cdot; p, q)$
- ▶ Tangent space  $T_pM$
- ▶ inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_p$





Tasks in image processing are often phrased as an optimisation problem. **Here.** The pixel take values on a manifold

- ▶ phase-valued data (S<sup>1</sup>)
- ▶ wind-fields, GPS (S<sup>2</sup>)
- ▶ DT-MRI (*P*(3))
- EBSD, (grain) orientations (SO(n))



Artificial noisy phase-valued data.



Tasks in image processing are often phrased as an optimisation problem. **Here.** The pixel take values on a manifold

- ▶ phase-valued data (S<sup>1</sup>)
- ▶ wind-fields, GPS (S<sup>2</sup>)
- ▶ DT-MRI (*P*(3))
- EBSD, (grain) orientations (SO(n))



InSAR-Data of Mt. Vesuvius. [Rocca, Prati, and Guarnieri 1997]



Tasks in image processing are often phrased as an optimisation problem. **Here.** The pixel take values on a manifold

- ▶ phase-valued data (S<sup>1</sup>)
- ▶ wind-fields, GPS (S<sup>2</sup>)
- ▶ DT-MRI (*P*(3))
- EBSD, (grain) orientations (SO(n))



Artificial noisy data on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2.$ 



Tasks in image processing are often phrased as an optimisation problem. **Here.** The pixel take values on a manifold

- ▶ phase-valued data  $(S^1)$
- ▶ wind-fields, GPS (S<sup>2</sup>)
- ▶ DT-MRI (*P*(3))
- EBSD, (grain) orientations (SO(n))



Artificial diffusion data, each pixel is a symmetric positive matrix.



Tasks in image processing are often phrased as an optimisation problem. **Here.** The pixel take values on a manifold

- ▶ phase-valued data  $(S^1)$
- ▶ wind-fields, GPS (S<sup>2</sup>)
- ▶ DT-MRI (*P*(3))
- EBSD, (grain) orientations (SO(n))



DT-MRI of the human brain. Camino Profject: cmic.cs.ucl.ac.uk/camino



Tasks in image processing are often phrased as an optimisation problem. **Here.** The pixel take values on a manifold

- ▶ phase-valued data (S<sup>1</sup>)
- ▶ wind-fields, GPS (S<sup>2</sup>)
- ▶ DT-MRI (*P*(3))
- ▶ EBSD, (grain) orientations (SO(*n*))



Grain orientations in EBSD data. MTEX toolbox: mtex-toolbox.github.io



## Why Manifolds?

- ▶ A constrained problem on  $\mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow$  an unconstrained problem on  $\mathcal{M}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  The "type of convexity" changes: Convexity is defined along geodesics  $\gamma$
- $\blacktriangleright$  If we can omit "working" in the embedding  $\Rightarrow$  dimension reduction
- ! we need efficient ways to compute e.g. retractions.

#### The Smooth Case & Gradient Descent

For a smooth function  $f: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$  we have

- ▶ The differential  $Df: T\mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ , or phrased differently  $Df(p): T_p\mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$
- ► the gradient grad f(p) ∈ T<sub>p</sub>M is the Riesz representer defined by the property

$$Df(p)[X] = ( ext{grad} f(p), X)_p, \quad \text{for all } X \in T_p\mathcal{M}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Like in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :  $Y = - \operatorname{grad} f(p)$  is the direction of steepest descent.

**Algorithm.** Gradient descent. Given f and a retraction R we perform

$$p_{k+1} = R_{p_k}(-s_k \operatorname{grad} f(p))$$

for some step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. an Armijo backtracking line see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. and see another step size(s)  $s_k$  – e.g. another step siz



#### **Proximal Map**

For  $f: \mathcal{M} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\lambda > 0$  we define the Proximal Map as [Moreau 1965; Rockafellar 1970; Ferreira and Oliveira 2002]

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\lambda f}(p) \coloneqq \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{u \in \mathcal{M}} d_{\mathcal{M}}(u, p)^2 + \lambda f(u).$$

- ! For a minimizer  $u^*$  of f we have  $\operatorname{prox}_{\lambda f}(u^*) = u^*$ .
- For *f* proper, convex, lsc:
  - the proximal map is unique.
  - Proximal-Point-Algorithm:
    - $p_k = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda f}(p_{k-1})$  converges to arg min f



#### The Cyclic Proximal Point Algorithm

If we can split our nonsmooth  $f(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} g_i(p)$ , we can use the Cyclic Proximal Point-Algorithmus (CPPA):

[Bertsekas 2011; Bačák 2014]

$$p_{k+rac{i+1}{c}} = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda_k g_i}(p_{k+rac{i}{c}}), \quad i = 0, \dots, c-1, \ k = 0, 1, \dots$$

On a Hadamard manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ : convergence to a minimizer of *f* if

- all g<sub>i</sub> proper, convex, lower semi-continuous
- $\blacktriangleright \{\lambda_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell_2(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \ell_1(\mathbb{N}).$ 
  - ! no convergence rate

# NTNU

## The Exact Riemannian Chambolle–Pock Algorithm

[RB, Herzog, Silva Louzeiro, Tenbrinck, and Vidal-Núñez 2021; Chambolle and Pock 2011]

**Assume.**  $f(p) = F(p) + G(\Lambda(p))$ , with  $\Lambda : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ .

**Input:**  $m, p^{(0)} \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{M}, n = \Lambda(m), \xi_n^{(0)} \in \mathcal{T}_n^* \mathcal{N}$ , and parameters  $\sigma, \tau, \theta > 0$ 1:  $k \leftarrow 0$ 2:  $\bar{p}^{(0)} \leftarrow p^{(0)}$ 3: while not converged do 4:  $\xi_{p}^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{\tau G^{*}} \left( \xi_{p}^{(k)} + \tau \left( \log_{p} \Lambda(\bar{p}^{(k)}) \right)^{\flat} \right)$ 5:  $p^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \operatorname{prox}_{\sigma F} \left( \exp_{p^{(k)}} \left( \mathsf{P}_{p^{(k)} \leftarrow m} \left( -\sigma D \Lambda(m)^* [\xi_n^{(k+1)}] \right)^{\sharp} \right) \right)$ 6:  $\overline{p}^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \exp_{p^{(k+1)}} \left(-\theta \log_{p^{(k+1)}} p^{(k)}\right)$ 7.  $k \leftarrow k+1$ 8: end while

Output:  $p^{(k)}$ 

## Implementing Manifolds & Optimisation – in Julia.

- abstract definition of manifolds and properties thereon
  e. g. different metrics, retractions, embeddings
- $\Rightarrow$  implement abstract algorithms for generic manifolds
- easy to implement own manifolds & easy to use
- well-documented and well-tested
- ► fast.

#### Why 💑 Julia?

- high-level language, properly typed
- multiple dispatch (cf. f(x), f(x::Number), f(x::Int))
- just-in-time compilation, solves two-language problem
- ▶ I like the language and the community.



NTNU

## **D** NTNU

## Implementing a Riemannian Manifold

 $\begin{array}{l} \texttt{ManifoldsBase.jl uses a AbstractManifold} \ensuremath{\mathbb{F}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{F}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{F}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{F}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}, \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{F}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{F}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}, \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{F}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}, \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{E}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{H}} \ensuremath{$ 

- inner(M, p, X, Y) for the Riemannian metric  $(X, Y)_p$
- exp(M, p, X) and log(M, p, q),
- ▶ more general: retract(M, p, X, m), where m is a retraction method
- similarly: parallel\_transport(M, p, X, q) and

vector\_transport\_to(M, p, X, q, m)

for your manifold M a subtype of the abstract manifold  $Manifold{\mathbb{F}}$ .

 $\bigcirc$  mutating version exp!(M, q, p, X) works in place in q

M basis for generic algorithms working on any Manifold and generic functions like norm(M,p,X), geodesic(M, p, X) and shortest\_geodesic(M, p, q)

 ${\cal O}$  juliamanifolds.github.io/ManifoldsBase.jl/

## Manifolds.il – A library of manifolds in Julia

Manifolds.jl is based on the ManifoldsBase.jl interface. Features.

- different metrics
- Lie groups
- Build manifolds using
  - **Product manifold**  $\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$
  - **Power manifold**  $\mathcal{M}^{n \times m}$
  - Tangent bundle
- Embedded manifolds
- perform statistics
- ▶ well-documented, including formulae and references
- ▶ well-tested. >98 % code cov.

- Manifolds. For example
  - (unit) Sphere, Circle & Torus
  - Fixed Rank Matrices
  - (Generalized) Stiefel & Grassmann

[Axen, Baran, RB, and Rzecki 2021]

Hyperbolic space

....

- **•** Rotations, O(n), SO(n), SU(n)
- Several further Lie groups
- Symmetric positive definite matrices
- Symplectic & Symplectic Stiefel
- $\mathscr{O}$  iuliamanifolds.github.io/Manifolds.jl/ JuliaCon 2020 youtu.be/md-FnDGCh9M



## Manopt.jl: Optimisation on Manifolds in Julia

**Goal.** Provide optimisation algorithms on Riemannian manifolds, based on ManifoldsBase.jl & works any manifold from Manifolds.jl.

#### Features.

- generic algorithm framework: With Problem P and Options 0
  - initialize\_solver!(P,0)
  - step\_solver!(P, 0, i): ith step
- O run algorithm: call solve(P,0)
- generic debug and recording
- step sizes and stopping criteria.

#### Manopt Family.



#### Algoirthms.

- Gradient Descent
  CG, Stochastic, Momentum, ...
- Quasi-Newton
  BFGS, DFP, Broyden, SR1, ...
- Nelder-Mead, Particle Swarm
- Subgradient Method
- Trust Regions
- Chambolle-Pock
- Douglas-Rachford
- Cyclic Proximal Point



# **D** NTNU

## **Selected References**

- Axen, S. D., M. Baran, RB, and K. Rzecki (2021). Manifolds.jl: An Extensible Julia Framework for Data Analysis on Manifolds. arXiv: 2106.08777.
- Bačák, M. (2014). "Computing medians and means in Hadamard spaces". In: SIAM Journal on Optimization 24.3, pp. 1542–1566. DOI: 10.1137/140953393.
- RB (2022). "Manopt.jl: Optimization on Manifolds in Julia". In: Journal of Open Source Software 7.70, p. 3866. DOI: 10.21105/joss.03866.
- RB, R. Herzog, M. Silva Louzeiro, D. Tenbrinck, and J. Vidal-Núñez (Jan. 2021). "Fenchel duality theory and a primal-dual algorithm on Riemannian manifolds". In: Foundations of Computational Mathematics. DOI: 10.1007/s10208-020-09486-5. arXiv: 1908.02022.
- Boumal, N., B. Mishra, P.-A. Absil, and R. Sepulchre (2014). "Manopt, a Matlab toolbox for optimization on manifolds". In: *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 15, pp. 1455–1459. URL: https://www.jmlr.org/papers/v15/boumal14a.html.
- Boumal, N. (June 2022). An introduction to optimization on smooth manifolds. To appear with Cambridge University Press. URL: https://www.nicolasboumal.net/book.
- Chambolle, A. and T. Pock (2011). "A first-order primal-dual algorithm for convex problems with applications to imaging". In: *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision* 40.1, pp. 120–145. DOI: 10.1007/s10851-010-0251-1.
- Townsend, J., N. Koep, and S. Weichwald (2016). "Pymanopt: A Python Toolbox for Optimization on Manifolds using Automatic Differentiation". In: Journal of Machine Learning Research 17.137, pp. 1–5. URL: http://jmlr.org/papers/v17/16-177.html.

ronnybergmann.net/talks/2022-Heidelberg-NonSmooth-Optim.pdf